

What Metazoan Parasites Tell Us About the Evolution of American and European Eels Author(s): David J. Marcogliese and David K. Cone Source: Evolution, Vol. 47, No. 5 (Oct., 1993), pp. 1632–1635 Published by: Society for the Study of Evolution Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2410175</u> Accessed: 30/07/2014 16:41

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Society for the Study of Evolution is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Evolution.

http://www.jstor.org

Evolution, 47(5), 1993, pp. 1632-1635

## WHAT METAZOAN PARASITES TELL US ABOUT THE EVOLUTION OF AMERICAN AND EUROPEAN EELS

DAVID J. MARCOGLIESE<sup>1</sup> AND DAVID K. CONE<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Maurice Lamontagne Institute, P.O. Box 1000, Mont-Joli, Quebec G5H 3Z4, Canada <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Saint Mary's University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 3C3, Canada

Key words. – Anguilla anguilla, Anguilla rostrata, eels, parasites.

Received December 8, 1992. Accepted April 6, 1993.

Two hypotheses have been forwarded to explain the divergence of American (Anguilla rostrata) and European (Anguilla anguilla) eels from a common ancestor. The "oceanic" hypothesis proposes that eels formerly inhabited either North America or Europe. Divergence occurred on the spawning grounds in the Sargasso Sea, with new oceanic currents arising during the Pleistocene carrying leptocephalid larvae to the new continent. The "vicariant" hypothesis proposes that an ancestral eel population inhabited the North Atlantic regions, including Greenland, Iceland, and Scandinavia. This population was forced southwards by the Pleistocene glaciation and separated into European and American components. Examination of the freshwater metazoan parasite fauna specific to eels on both continents forces rejection of the "oceanic" hypothesis. Six parasites specific to eels (Gyrodactylus anguillae, Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae, Bothriocephalus claviceps, Proteocephalus macrocephalus, Daniconema anguillae, Paraquimperia tenerrima) infect eels in freshwaters of both Europe and North America. This transcontinental distribution of freshwater parasites specific to eels is incompatible with an oceanic separation of eels on the spawning grounds, because adult eels die after spawning and leptocephalid larvae cannot acquire these parasites at sea. The disjunct distribution of these parasites implies that at one time their ranges were continuous, thus supporting the "vicariant" speciation hypothesis.

The American eel, *Anguilla rostrata* (LeSueur), and the European eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (L.), are sister species inhabiting separate continents. They differ only in the number of vertebrae, the frequency of certain enzymatic alleles, and the frequency of several restriction endonucleases in the mtDNA genotype (Avise et al. 1986, 1990). Although it has been established that these eels are distinct populations, some doubt exists as to whether they constitute distinct biological species (Williams and Koehn 1984). Although Anguillidae is an ancient family, the fossil record is virtually nonexistent (Cavender 1986). The earliest known Anguilla in North America dates from the late Pleistocene, while in Europe, to the Upper Miocene (Cavender 1986). These findings, together with the close relatedness of the sisterspecies Anguilla rostrata and Anguilla anguilla, suggest that the two species were recently separated. Both species grow in coastal, estuarine, and freshwater habitats, and then undergo a spawning migration to the southwestern Sargasso Sea, where they spawn in a largely overlapping area (McCleave et al. 1987). Leptocephalid larvae migrate to the continent of parental origin, partially by passive dispersal in oceanic currents. The nature of their sympatric spawning grounds has created difficulty in determining the origin of the two species. Two contrasting hypotheses have been forwarded recently to explain the divergence of these two anguilliforms from a common ancestral stock and to account for their present distributions (Avise et al. 1990).

The "oceanic" hypothesis states that eels were native only to one side of the Atlantic, that is, Europe or North America (Avise et al. 1990). During the Pleistocene epoch, changes in ocean currents occurred that carried some eel larvae from the spawning grounds in the Sargasso Sea to the new continent. These eels matured in the new habitat and returned to the spawning grounds. However, as they migrated from a new direction, that of the newly colonized continent,

© 1994 The Society for the Study of Evolution. All rights reserved.

these eels may have encountered suitable breeding grounds and commenced spawning away from the ancestral stock, establishing initial tendencies for assortative mating and a distinct spawning area. The oceanic currents may have persisted, leading to continued migrations to the new continent, selecting for behaviors adaptive to the new habitat, and promoting divergence of the two populations.

The "vicariant" hypothesis proposes that a single ancestral population existed across the North Atlantic, from Scandinavia to Greenland (Avise et al. 1990). The Pleistocene glaciation rendered the northern regions uninhabitable and forced these fish to move southward, dividing the population between North America and Europe and leading to the separation of spawning grounds. The two distinct spawning areas may have produced larvae destined for the respective original continent of the parental generation. The subsequent retreat of sea ice and reemergence of suitable habitats led to the colonization of the northern latitudes by two distinct populations already separated by glaciation.

Scientists have been using parasite distributions for more than 100 yr to draw inferences about host dispersal and evolution, beginning with the work of von Ihering (1891). Metcalf (1920) and, more recently, Manter (1966, 1967) emphasized the importance of discontinuous distribution of parasites of the same or closely related hosts in determining ancient conditions and former continuity of the host species. Herein, we employ distributional data from freshwater parasites specific to eels in both North America and Europe to distinguish between the two hypotheses explaining the divergence of the two eels from a single ancestral population.

Eleven species of parasites specific to eels are found in European fresh waters. They include three monogeneans (Gyrodactylus anguillae, Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae, and Pseudodactylogyrus bini), two cestodes (Bothriocephalus claviceps and Proteocephalus macrocephalus), five nematodes (Anguillicola crassus, Anguillicola novaezelandiae, Daniconema anguillae, Paraquimperia tenerrima, and Spinitectus inermis), and one copepod (Ergasilus gibbus) (Malmberg 1970; Kennedy 1974; Koie 1988, 1991). Of these, all are indigenous to Europe with the exception of Anguillicola crassus and Pseudodactylogyrus bini, recently acquired from introduced Japanese eels, Anguilla japonica, and Anguillicola novaezelandiae, introduced via live New Zealand eels,

Anguilla australis (Koie 1991). All the cestodes and nematodes possess complex life cycles, whereas the monogeneans and copepod are transmitted directly.

Eight species of freshwater parasites are also specific to eels in North America. They include *Gyrodactylus anguillae, Bothriocephalus claviceps, Proteocephalus macrocephalus,* the nematode *Paraquimperia aditum,* the copepod *Ergasilus celestis,* the trematode *Crepidostomum brevivitellum* (Margolis and Arthur 1979; Crane and Eversole 1989), plus *Daniconema anguillae* and *Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae,* recently found in our collections from Atlantic Canada (Cone, unpubl. data).

The identity of Paraquimperia aditum has been questioned, and it is suggested that this is actually Paraquimperia tenerrima (Moravec 1966). Specimens from Nova Scotian eels are morphologically identical with Paraquimperia tenerrima, and we agree with Moravec that Paraquimperia aditum is synonymous with Paraquimperia tenerrima (Cone and Marcogliese, unpubl. data). Thus, six freshwater parasites specific to eels occur in both North America and Europe. Congeneric copepods also infect the two eels. The two species of Ergasilus are morphologically very similar, but Ergasilus celestis is confined to freshwater in North America (Kabata 1988), whereas Ergasilus gibbus occurs in fresh and brackish waters in Europe (Kabata 1979).

Nie and Kennedy (1991) questioned the contention of Koie (1991) and others that *Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae* was introduced with imported Japanese eels, because they found this monogenean on eels in areas of Britain where contamination from Japanese eels is unlikely. These authors speculate that *Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae* may actually be more widespread but went unobserved because of its small size. Our finding of this parasite in North America, where there has been no introduction of Japanese eels, supports the argument for a more cosmopolitan distribution of *Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae*.

With the exception of the cosmopolitan *Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae*, and the introduced *Pseudodactylogyrus bini*, *Anguillicola crassus*, and *Anguillicola novaezelandiae*, none of the parasites found in Atlantic eels occur in Pacific eels. Moreover, Pacific *Anguilla* spp. possess several parasites specific to them but not found in Atlantic eels (Manter 1955; Beumer et al. 1983). Among trematodes, at least three genera and six species are unique to Pacific eels. The distinctness of the Atlantic and Pacific eel parasites suggests that divergence of the two Atlantic forms occurred independently of Pacific eels, and any association between eels from the two oceans predated the separation of the Atlantic species.

The transcontinental distributions of the six species of parasite in Atlantic eels are incompatible with an origin of the two eels derived from a single continental population either in Europe or North America, where larvae subsequently diverged on the spawning grounds because of oceanic currents ("oceanic" hypothesis). Because adult eels die after spawning, and newly hatched larvae and elvers cannot acquire freshwater parasites at sea, these host-specific, freshwater parasites could not have been introduced to the new continent by colonizing leptocephalids.

The disjunct distribution of the four parasites possessing different complex life cycles, Bothriocephalus claviceps, Proteocephalus macrocephalus, Daniconema anguillae, and Paraquimperia tenerrima, argues for the former continuous freshwater distribution of a single ancestral eel host. The ancestral eel population probably diverged into two groups allopatrically as a result of glaciation ("vicariant" hypothesis). Parasites with discontinuous distributions provide important evidence for the former continuity of a host species (Metcalf 1920; Manter 1966). Dispersal of these freshwater parasites could not occur across the Atlantic Ocean because of their strict host specificity and the inability of infective stages to survive extended transport in a marine environment. Through association by descent, parasite-host coevolution results from two processes, coaccommodation (mutual adaptation between host and parasite) and cospeciation (concomitant host and parasite speciation) (Brooks 1979). Furthermore, allopatric speciation can account for all observed patterns of hostparasite associations. However, Brooks (1979, pp. 300-301) further states "an allopatric speciation event is readily acceptable to explain the situation in which two sister-species of host harbor the same species of parasites wherein it is assumed that an isolation event led to host speciation but not parasite speciation." This situation appears applicable to the parasites of American and European eels, though some of the parasites may be undergoing speciation events but are not yet morphologically distinct.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Castonguay for numerous discussions on eel biology, providing pertinent references, and reviewing the manuscript.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Avise, J. C., G. S. Helfman, N. C. Saunders, and L. S. Hales. 1986. Mitochondrial DNA differentiation in North Atlantic eels: Population genetic consequences of an unusual life-history pattern. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 83:4350–4354.
- Avise, J. C., W. S. Nelson, J. Arnold, R. K. Koehn, G. C. Williams, and V. Thorsteinsson. 1990. The evolutionary genetic status of Icelandic eels. Evolution 44:1254–1262.
- Buemer, J. P., L. D. Ashburner, M. E. Burbury, E. Jetté, and D. J. Latham. 1983. A checklist of the parasites of fishes from Australia and its adjacent Antarctic territories. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux. Farnham Royal.
- Brooks, D. R. 1979. Testing the context and extent of host-parasite coevolution. Systematic Zoology 28:299–307.
- Cavender, T. M. 1986. Review of the fossil history of North American freshwater fishes. Pp. 699–724 in C. H. Hocutt and E. O. Wiley, eds. Zoogeography of North American freshwater fishes. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Crane, J. S., and A. G. Eversole. 1989. Metazoan ectoparasitic fauna of American eels from brackish water. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies 39:248–254.
- Kabata, Z. 1979. Parasitic Copepoda of British fishes. The Ray Society, London.
- . 1988. Copepoda and Brachiura. Pp. 3–127 in L. Margolis and Z. Kabata, eds. Guide to the parasites of fishes of Canada. Part II—Crustacea. Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 101.
- Kennedy, C. R. 1974. A checklist of British and Irish freshwater parasites with notes on their distribution. Journal of Fish Biology 6:613–644.
- Koie, M. 1988. Parasites in European eel Anguilla anguilla (L.) from Danish freshwater, brackish, and marine localities. Ophelia 29:93–118.
- ------. 1991. Swimbladder nematodes (*Anguillicola* spp.) and gill monogeneans (*Pseudodactylogyrus* spp.) parasitic on the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*). Journal du Conseil Conseil International pour l'Exploration de la Mer 47:391–398.
- Malmberg, G. 1970. The excretory systems and the marginal hooks as a basis for the systematics of *Gyrodactylus* [Trematoda, Monogenea]. Arkiv för Zoologi Serie 2, Band 23, no. 1. 2:1–235.
- Manter, H. W. 1955. The zoogeography of trematodes of marine fishes. Experimental Parasitology 4:62-86.
- 1966. Parasites of fishes as biological indicators of recent and ancient conditions. Pp. 59–71 *in* J. E. McCauley, ed. Host-parasite relationships. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis.

----. 1967. Some aspects of the geographical distribution of parasites. Journal of Parasitology 53: 3-9.

- Margolis, L., and J. R. Arthur. 1979. Synopsis of the parasites of fishes of Canada. Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 199.
- McCleave, J. D., R. C. Kleckner, and M. Castonguay. 1987. Reproductive sympatry of American and European eels and implications for migration and taxonomy. American Fisheries Society Symposium 1:286–297.
- Metcalf, M. M. 1920. Upon an important method of studying problems of relationship and of geographic distribution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 6:432–433.
- Moravec, F. 1966. On the systematics of the genus *Paraquimperia* Baylis, 1934 (Nematoda; Quimperiidae). Helminthologia 7:353–364.

- Nie, P., and C. R. Kennedy. 1991. Occurrence and seasonal dynamics of *Pseudodactylogyrus anguillae* (Yin & Sproston) (Monogenea) in eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (L.), in England. Journal of Fish Biology 39: 897–900.
- von Ihering, H. 1891. On the ancient relations between New Zealand and South America. Transactions and Proceedings of the New Zealand Institute 24:431–445.
- Williams, G. C., and R. K. Koehn. 1984. Population genetics of North Atlantic catadromous eels (Anguilla). Pp. 529–560 in B. J. Turner, ed. Evolutionary genetics of fishes. Plenum Press, New York.

Corresponding Editor: R. Holt